

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

į Į	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-	10/662,906	09/15/2003	Rong-Hwa Lin	A0871.70001US00	1268	
;) [23628 WOLF GREEI	7590 06/11/2007 NFIELD & SACKS, P.C.		EXAMINER		
	600 ATLANT	IC AVENUE		GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
	BOSTON, MA	1 02210-2206		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
i				1644		
!			·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				06/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/662,906	LIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Phillip Gambel	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. If you be timely filed If som the mailing date of this nooned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	` ,	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to t	ne merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or of the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,906

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Elections and Remarks, filed 11/03/2006 and 03/05/2007, are acknowledged.

The following was set forth in the Remarks filed 03/05/2007.

In response to the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed January 19, 2007, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group I, claims 1-38, for continued examination. Applicant further elects the following species: (A) homo-multimeric compound that binds to at least two PSGL-1 proteins; (D) antigen binding domain of an anti-PSGL-1 antibody or a fragment thereof; psoriasis; and (A) absence of detecting the number of T cells or detecting the biological activity of the T cells before / after administration of multimeric compounds.

Similarly, for the avoidance of any possible doubt, the last paragraph on page 2 of the Response to Restriction Requirement mailed on October 31, 2006, is amended to read as follows: In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant has elected Group I, (A) homo-multimeric compound that binds to at least two PSGL-1 proteins; (D) antigen binding domain of an anti-PSGL-1 antibody or a fragment thereof; psoriasis; and (A) absence of detecting the number of T cells or detecting the biological activity of the T cells before / after administration of multimeric compounds, for examination. This election is made with traverse.

Upon a review of the Remarks, filed 11/03/2006; applicant noted certain inaccuracies and mischaracterizations of what is claimed, which appears to be responsible for the traversal in response to the Restriction Requirement and Election of Species of record (see original Restriction mailed 10/04/2006.

In order to set the Restriction properly in view of applicant's Remarks and to clarify if there is a traverse to the Restriction of record <u>or</u> whether the traverse was made solely to address certain inaccuracies and mischaracterizations of the original Restriction Requirement mailed 10/04/2006,

the previous Restriction has been vacated in order to set forth the Restriction herein to address applicant's remarks about certain inaccuracies and mischaracterizations.

The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience to applicant in this matter.

Claims 1-39 are pending.

2. Applicant is invited to review the claims to determine if any amendments to said claims would clarify the methods and the ingredients employed is said methods.

Also, applicant is invited to clarify whether the recitation of "binds at least two PSGL-1 proteins" is intended to simply indicate that the multimeric compounds binds two (2) PSGL-1 molecules or whether the claims encompass two types of PSGL-1 proteins.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,906

Art Unit: 1644

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-38, drawn to methods of reducing T cell-mediated immune responses with multimeric compounds which bind PSGL-1 proteins, classified in Class 424, subclass 133.1.

Page 3

- II. Claim 39, drawn to a kit comprising PSGL-1-binding multimeric compounds, classified in Class 435, subclass 810.
- 4. Inventions II and I are related as products and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the products as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity purification, detection assays, bioassays or as an immunogen.

Also, the methods of reducing T cell-mediated immune responses can be achieved a host of immunosuppressive regimens and therapeutics, other than the claimed PSGL-1-binding multimeric proteins.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-II is not required for any other group from Groups I-II and Groups I-II have acquired a separate status in the art because the searches are not co-extensive and encompass divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. In addition to electing a Group from above,

this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the <u>claimed Groups I / II</u>: wherein the PSGL-1-binding multimeric compound is:

- A) homomeric or
- B) heteromeric.

In addition to electing homomeric or heteromeric PSGL-1-binding compound, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Groups I / II: wherein the homomeric or heteromeric PSGL-1-binding compound comprises:

- A) P-selectin (extracellular domain),
- B) E-selectin(extracellular domain),
- C) L-selectin (extracellular domain), or
- D) an anti-PSGL-1 antibody.

Art Unit: 1644

The various components of the multimeric compounds differ in structure and modes of action to such an extent and require non-coextensive searches to such an extent that they are considered separately patentable. The examiner notes that these molecules do not share a substantial structural feature essential to a common utility.

If applicant elects a heteromeric compound, applicant is required to elect the components of the heteromeric compound with respect to (A) - (D) or from the disclosure of the instant specification.

Applicant is reminded that the amendment must point to a basis in the specification so as not to add any new matter. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06

It is noted that the recitation of "selected from a phage library" is considered a product-by-process limitation that appears to read on any (A) - (D), though (D) may be the more appropriate species implicated

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

7. If applicant elects Group I from above,

and in addition to electing a particular PSGL-1 binding homomeric / heteromeric multimeric compound from Section 5 above,

this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the <u>claimed Group I</u>: wherein the individual has a disease or condition selected form claims 14-18 or pages 22-23 of the instant specification.

These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (e.g. psoriasis, asthma, etc.) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

It is noted that the election of the targeted disease will be carried over to selecting an individual being diagnosed with that elected disease as it reads on claims 14-18, if appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,906

Art Unit: 1644

8. If applicant elects Group I from above,

and in addition to electing a particular PSGL-1 binding homomeric / heteromeric multimeric compound from Section 6 above and a disease from Section 7 above, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group I: wherein the methods comprise;

Page 5

- A) the absence of detecting the number of T cells or detecting the biological activity of the T cells before / after administration of multimeric compounds,
 - B) detecting the number of T cells (e.g., see claim 20), or
 - C) detecting the biological activity of T cells (e.g. see claim 21).

These species are distinct because the methods rely upon different ingredients, method steps and endponts.

If applicant elects (C), then applicant should elect a particular biological activity of T cells that is detected.

Applicant is reminded that the amendment must point to a basis in the specification so as not to add any new matter. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

9. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1644

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

12. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1644

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phillip Gambel, Ph.D., J.D. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600 May 29, 2007 PRILL PARIANT